

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/02/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FIENG BATE		2523-072	6804
10/083,370	02/27/2002	Rikuro Obara	2525-072	0604
75	590 01/02/2003			
ISRAEL GOPSTEIN,Esq.			EXAMINER	
Suite 200C			ELKASSABGI, HEBA	
14301 Layhill I	Rd.			
P.O. Box 9303 Silver Spring, MD 20916-9303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		a m			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
C	10/083,370	OBARA,RIKURO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
5	Heba Elkassabgi	2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM If a reply be timely filed Ithirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BE ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). In if timely filed, may reduce any matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)[disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(list of the certified copies	a)). not received.			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) The translation of the foreign language	provisional application h	as been received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Not	5) 🔲 Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:			

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), is not acknowledged because the certified copy have not been filed in parent Application No. 10/083370, filed on 02/07/02.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract exceeds 150 words and should only be one paragraph. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Art Unit: 2834

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: Spindle Motor having a Squeeze member around the outer bearing ring.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 1-4 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1-3 of copending Application No. 09/897599. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter,

Art Unit: 2834

as follows: The double bearing structure in which the squeezed member is protruding inwardly on the sleeve portion between the upper and lower rows of the bearing.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claim1-4 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over copending Application No. 09/897599 which has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the copending application, it would constitute prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) if published or patented. This provisional rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) is based upon a presumption of future publication or patenting of the conflicting application.

This provisional rejection might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the copending application was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by a showing of a date of invention for the instant application prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the copending application under 37 CFR 1.131. For applications filed on or after November 29, 1999, this rejection might also be overcome by showing that the subject matter of the reference and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention

Art Unit: 2834

was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person. See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Obara J.P. Application 2001183434.

Obara discloses in Figure s 1-6 a motor having a rotating member rotatably supported through a bearing device on a base member of the motor, said bearing device- including a shaft, a cylindrical outer ring member surrounding the shaft, and a plurality of balls of the first and the second rows interposed between the shaft and the outer ring member, the bearing device having an outer ring member that includes an upper and lower portions and a central portion therebetween, two rowsof outer raceways for the first and the second row of balls are formed on the inner peripheral surface of the upper and lower portions of the outer ring member, a squeeze member of the same material as that used in forming the outer ring member or of any other material of substantially the same coefficient of linear thermal expansion as that of the outer ring member is press fit around the outer peripheral of the central portion of the

Art Unit: 2834

outer ring member to elastically deform the outer ring member inwardly to form an inwardly protruding squeezed portion. wherein the inner ring is secured to the shaft with applying an appropriate pre-load thereon. supported through a bearing device on a base member of the motor, said bearing device including a shaft, a cylindrical outer ring member surrounding the shaft, and a plurality of balls of the first and the second rows interposed between the shaft and the outer ring member, the bearing device being characterized in that: the outer ring member includes upper and lower portions and a central portion therebetween two rows of outer raceways for the first and the second row of balls are formed on the inner periphera surface of the upper and lower portions of the outer ring member, a squeeze member of the same material as that used in forming the outer ring member or of any other material of substantially the same coefficient of linear thermal expansion as that of the outer ring member is press fit around the outer periphery of the central portion of the outer ring member to elastically deform the outer ring member inwardly to form an inwardly protruding squeezed portion, wherein the shaft is secured on the base member to extend therefrom, and the coaxial portion of the rotor or the rotating member is fit over the outer periphery of the outer rang member. wherein the shaft is secured on the base member to extend therefrom, and the central portion of the rotor or the rotating member is fit over the outer periphery of the outer ring member.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Heba Elkassabgi whose telephone number is (703) 305-

Art Unit: 2834

2723. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:30-3:30), and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3431 for regular communications and (703) 305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

NESTOR RAMIREZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Heba Yousri Elkassabgi

December 26, 2002